Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology

Special Issue for IETC, ITEC, IDEC, ITICAM 2016
July, 2016

Prof. Dr. Aytekin İşman
Editor-in-Chief

Prof. Dr. Jerry WILLIS - ST John Fisher University in Rochester, USA
Prof. Dr. J. Ana Donaldson - AECT President
Editors

Assist. Prof. Dr. Fahme DABAJ - Eastern Mediterranean University, TRNC
Associate Editor

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Eric Zhi - Feng Liu - National Central University, Taiwan
Assistant Editor
THE TURKISH ONLINE JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY

July, 2016
Special Issue for IETC, ITEC, IDEC, ITICAM 2016

Prof. Dr. Aytekin İşman
Editor-in-Chief

Editors
Prof. Dr. Jerry Willis
Prof. Dr. J. Ana Donaldson

Associate Editor
Assist. Prof. Dr. Fahme Dabaj

Assistant Editor
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Eric Zhi - Feng Liu

ISSN: 1303 - 6521

Indexed by
Education Resources Information Center – ERIC
SCOPUS - ELSEVIER
Message from the Editor-in-Chief

Dear Colleagues,

We are very pleased to publish Special Issue for IETC, ITEC, IDEC, ITICAM 2016 conference. This issue covers the papers presented at International Educational Technology Conference, International Teacher Education Conference, International Distance Education Conference and International Trends and Issues in Communication & Media Conference which were held in Dubai, UAE. These papers are about different research scopes and approaches of new developments and innovation in educational.

Call for Papers

TOJET invites you article contributions. Submitted articles should be about all aspects of educational technology. The articles should be original, unpublished, and not in consideration for publication elsewhere at the time of submission to TOJET. Manuscripts must be submitted in English.

TOJET is guided by its editors, guest editors and advisory boards. If you are interested in contributing to TOJET as an author, guest editor or reviewer, please send your CV to tojet.editor@gmail.com.

July 2016
Prof. Dr. Aytekin ISMAN
Sakarya University
Prof. Dr. Kuo - En Chang - National Taiwan Normal University, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Kuo - Hung Tseng - Meihao Institute of Technology, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Kuo - Robert Lai - Yuan - Ze University, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Liu Meifeng - Beijing Normal University, China
Prof. Dr. Marina Stock Mcisaac - Arizona State University, USA
Prof. Dr. Mehmet Ali Dikermen - Middlesex University, UK
Prof. Dr. Mehmet Çağlar - Near East University, TRNC
Prof. Dr. Mehmet Gürol - Fırat University, Turkey
Prof. Dr. Mehmet Kesim - Anadolu University, Turkey
Prof. Dr. Mei-Mei Chang - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Melissa Hui-Mei Fan - National central university, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Min Jou - National Taiwan Normal University, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Ming - Pui Chen - National Taiwan Normal University, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Murat Barkan - Yaşar University, Turkey
Prof. Dr. Mustafa Murat Inceoğlu - Ege University, Turkey
Prof. Dr. Mustafa Şahin Dündar - Sakarya University, Turkey
Prof. Dr. Nabi Bux Jumani - International Islamic University, Pakistan
Prof. Dr. Nian - Shing Chen - National Sun Yat - Sen University, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Paul Gibbs - Middlesex University, UK
Prof. Dr. Petek Aşkar - Hacettepe University, Turkey
Prof. Dr. Ramdane Younsi - Ecole polytechnique de Montreal, Canada
Prof. Dr. Rauf Yıldız - Çanakkale 19 Mart University, Turkey
Prof. Dr. Roger Hartley - University of Leeds, UK
Prof. Dr. Rozhan Hj. Mohammed Idrus - Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
Prof. Dr. Saedah Siraj - University of Malaya, Malaysia
Prof. Dr. Sello Mokoen - University of South Africa, South Africa
Prof. Dr. Servet Bayram - Yeditepe University, Turkey
Prof. Dr. Shan - Ju Lin - National Taiwan University, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Sheng Quan Yu - Beijing Normal University, China
Prof. Dr. Shi-Jer Lou - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Shu - Sheng Liaw - China Medical University, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Shu-Hsuan Chang - National Changhua University of Education, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Stefan Aufenanger - University of Mainz, Germany
Prof. Dr. Stephen Harmon - Georgia State University, USA
Prof. Dr. Stephen J.H. Yang - National Central University, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Sun Fuwan - China Open University, China
Prof. Dr. Sunny S.J. Lin - National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Teressa Franklin - Ohio University, USA
Prof. Dr. Toshio Okamoto - University of Electro - Communications, Japan
Prof. Dr. Toshiyuki Yamamoto - Japan
Prof. Dr. Tzu - Chien Liu - National Central University, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Uğur Demiray - Anadolu University, Turkey
Prof. Dr. Ülkü Köymen - Lefke European University, TRNC
Prof. Dr. Vaseudev D.Kulkarni - Hutatma Rajguru College, Rajguruunagar(Pune),(M.S.) INDIA
Prof. Dr. Xibin Han - Tsinghua University, China
Prof. Dr. Yau Hon Keung - City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
Prof. Dr. Yavuz Akpınar - Boğaziçi University, Turkey
Prof. Dr. Yen-Hsyang Chu - National central university, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Yuan - Chen Liu - National Taipei University of Education, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Yuan-Kuang Guu - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Prof. Dr. Young-Kyung Min - University of Washington, USA
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdullah Kuzu - Anadolu University, Turkey
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Adile Aşkim Kurt - Anadolu University, Turkey
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmet Eskiçumalı - Sakarya University
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ajiaz Ahmed Gujjar - Sindh Madressatul Islam University, Pakistan
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Aytaç Göğüş - Sabancı University, Turkey
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Chen - Chung Liu - National Central University, Taiwan
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Cheng - Huang Yen - National Open University, Taiwan
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ching - fan Chen - Tamkang University, Taiwan
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ching Hui Alice Chen - Ming Chuan University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Chiung - sui Chang - Tamkang University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Danguole Rutkauskiene - Kauno Technology University, Lietvenia
Assoc.Prof.Dr. David Tawei Ku - Tamkang University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Eric Meng - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Eric Zhi Feng Liu - National central university, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Erkan Tekinarslan - Bolu Abant Izzet Baysal University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ezendu Ariwa - London Metropolitan University, U.K.
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Fahad N. AlFahad - King Saudi University
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Fahrriye Altinay - Near East University, TRNC
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Gurnam Kaur Sidhu - Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Hao - Chiang Lin - National University of Tainan, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Hasan Çalışkan - Anadolu University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Hasan KARAL - Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Huey - Ching Jih - National Hsinchu University of Education, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Huichen Zhao - School of Education, Henan University, China
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Hüseyin Yaratan - Eastern Mediterranean University, TRNC
Assoc.Prof.Dr. I - Wen Huang - National University of Tainan, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. I Tsun Chiang - National Changhua University of Education, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ian Sanders - University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg
Assoc.Prof.Dr. İsmail İpek - Fatih University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. İsil Kabakci - Anadolu University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Jie - Chi Yang - National Central University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. John I-Tsun Chiang - National Changhua University of Education, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ju - Ling Shih - National University of Tainan, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Koong Lin - National University of Tainan, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Kuo - Chang Ting - Ming - Hsinching University of Science and Technology, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Kuo - Liang Ou - National Hsinching University of Education, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Larysa M. Mytsyk - Gogol State University, Ukraine
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Li - An Ho - Tamkang University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Li Yawan - China Open University, China
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Manoj Kumar Saxena - Central University of Himachal Pradesh, Dharamshala, Kangra, India
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Mike Joy - University of Warwick, UK
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ming-Charng Jeng - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Murat Ataizi - Anadolu University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Nergüz Serin - Cyprus International University, TRNC
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Norazah Mohd Sukii - Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Normaliza Abd Rahim - Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Oğuz Serin - Cyprus International University, TRNC
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ping - Kuen Chen - National Defense University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Popat S. Tambade - Prof. Ramkrishna More College, India
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Prakash Khanale - Dnyanopasak College, INDIA
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Pramela Krish - Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Tzu - Hua Wang - National Hsinchu University of Education, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Vincent Ru-Chu Shih - National Pingtung University of Science and Technology, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Wu - Yuin Hwang - National Central University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ya-Ling Wu - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Yahya O Mohamed ElHadj - AL Imam Muhammad Ibn Saud University, Saudi Arabia
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Yavuz Akbulut - Anadolu University
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Zehra Altınay - Near East University, TRNC
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Zhi - Feng Liu - National Central University, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Aaron L. Davenport - Grand View College, USA
Assist.Prof.Dr. Andreja Istenic Starcic - University of Primorska, Slovenia
Assist.Prof.Dr. Anita G. Welch - North Dakota State University, USA
Assist.Prof.Dr. Betül Özkan - University of Arizona, USA
Assist.Prof.Dr. Buğrüm Kısakış.pool - Gaziantep University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Chiuh - Pin Lin - National Hsinchu University of Education, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Chun - Ping Wu - Tamkang University, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Chun - Yi Shen - Tamkang University, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Chung-Yuan Hsu - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Dale Havill - Dhofar University, Sultanate of Oman
Assist.Prof.Dr. Ferman Konukman - College of Arts and Science, Sport Science Program, Qatar University
Assist.Prof.Dr. Filiz Varol - Firat University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Guan - Ze Liao - National Hsinchu University of Education, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Hsiang chin - hsiao - Shih - Chien University, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Huei - Tse Hou - National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Hüseyin Ünlü - Aksaray University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Jagannath. K Dange - Kuvempu University, India
Assist.Prof.Dr. K. B. Praveena - University of Mysore, India
Assist.Prof.Dr. Kanvaria Vinod Kumar - University of Delhi, India
Assist.Prof.Dr. Marko Radovan - University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Assist.Prof.Dr. Min-Hsien Lee - National central university, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Mohammad Akram Mohammad Al-Zu'bi - Jordan Al Balqa Applied University, Jordan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Muhammet Demirbilek - Suleyman Demirel University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Pamela Ewell - Central College of IOWA, USA
Assist.Prof.Dr. Pei-Hsuan Hsieh - National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Pey-Yan Liou - National central university, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Phaik Kin, Cheah - Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia
Assist.Prof.Dr. Ping - Yeh Tsai - Tamkang University, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. S. Arulchelvan - Anna University, India
Assist.Prof.Dr. Seçil Kaya - Anadolu University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Selma Koq Vonderwell - Cleveland State University, Cleveland
Assist.Prof.Dr. Sunil Kumar - National Institute of Technology, India
Assist.Prof.Dr. Tsung - Yen Chuang - National University of Taiwan, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Vahid Motamedi - Tarbiat Moallem University, Iran
Assist.Prof.Dr. Yalın Kılıç Türel - Firat University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Yu - Ju Lan - National Taipei University of Education, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Zehra Alakoç Burma - Mersin University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Zerrin Ayvaz Reis - İstanbul University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Zülfü Genç - Firat University, Turkey
Dr. Arnaud P. Prevot - Forest Ridge School of the Sacred Heart, USA
Dr. Balakrishnan Muniandy - Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
Dr. Brendan Tangney - Trinity College, Ireland
Dr. Chen Haishan - China Open University, China
Dr. Chin Hai Leng - University of Malaya, Malaysia
Dr. Chinn Yeh Wang - National Central University, Taiwan
Dr. Chun Hsiang Chen - National Central University, Taiwan
Dr. Chun Hung Lin - National central university, Taiwan
Dr. Farrah Dina Yusop - University of Malaya, Malaysia
Dr. Hj. Isham Ismail - Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
Dr. Hj. Mohd Arif Hj. Ismail - National University of Malaysia, Malaysia
Dr. I-Hen Tsai - National University of Tainan, Taiwan
Dr. Jarkko Suhonen - University of Eastern Finland, Finland
Dr. Li Ying - China Open University, China
Dr. Norladiana Alias - University of Malaya, Malaysia
Dr. Rosnaini Mahmud - Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia
Dr. Sachin Sharma - Faridabad Institute of Technology, Faridabad
Dr. Seetharamam Chittoor Jhansi - Pushpa Navnit Shah Centre for Lifelong Learning, India
Dr. Tam Shu Sim - University of Malaya, Malaysia
Dr. Ting Goh - Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand
Dr. Vikrant Mishra - Shivalik College of Education, India
Dr. Zahra Naimie - University of Malaya, Malaysia
# Table of Contents

21st Century Skills In The Teaching Of Foreign Languages At Primary And Secondary Schools
*Mário CRUZ, Edite ORANGE*

A Comparative Analysis Of Emoticon Functions And Forms In First And Second Language
*Hilal BOZOGLAN, Duygu GOK*

A Comparison Between Solar And Wind Energy According To Different Locations
*Mine SERTSÖZ*

A New Approach for Teaching Accounting Based On Technology
*Adalmiro PEREIRA, Helena Costa OLIVEIRA*

A Research on Self-Efficacy and Future Expectations of Students in Vocational High Schools
*Ata PESEN*

A Study Of Malaysian Students Adaptation From A Dependent Learning Environment To An Independent Learning Environment
*Jane Jeemamoney DAVIES*

Accountability in Local Public Administration: A Case Study
*Sandrina TEIXEIRA, Amélia SILVA, Maria José Angélico GONÇALVES*

Adoption And Implementation Of Information And Communication Technology Into Geography Teaching: A Systematic Review
*Filomena FAIELLA, Antonina PLUTINO, Maria RICCIARDI*

An Analysis Of Vocabulary Teaching In Turkish Courses In Terms Of Creative Language Acquisition
*Gözde GÜZEL, Tülay SARAR KUZU*

An Exploration Of Arab Students Opinions On Higher Education System In Romania
*Sorin IONESCU, Florin DÂNĂLACHE, Iuliana GRECU*

Assessment Of Usage Efficiency Of Information Technologies In Educational Institutions
*Behcet ÖZNACAR*

Bilfen Learning Awareness Scale High School Form Validity-Reliability and Standardization Study
*Mustafa OTRAR, A.Nilgün CANEL*

Cavit Orhan Tütengil’s Contributions To The Field Of Communication Studies
*Elif Kıcık DURUR*

Cinema and Geography: A Theoretical – Practical Model (From Film To Lesson) For Learning Geography
*Antonina PLUTINO, Filomena FAIELLA, Mariagrazia IULIANO*

Comic Strip And Science Communication Seicom Narratives
*Aquiles NEGRETE*

Comparative Study On The Engagement Of Students With Autism Towards Learning Through The Use Of Mobile Technology Based Visual Schedule
*Nurdalilah Mohd RANI, Siti Humaira RAMLI, Rafeah LEGINO, Mustaffa Halabi Haji AZAHARI, Muhamad Fairus KAMARUZAMAN*

Copyright © The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology
A Research on Self-Efficacy and Future Expectations of Students in Vocational High Schools

Ata PESEN
apatapesen@siirt.edu.tr

ABSTRACT
General self-efficacy, as a person’s (or one’s) general confidence in uncommon situations that are hard to cope with in different areas (Schwarzer, Bassler, Kwiatek, Schroder, Zhang, 1997; Scholz, Gutierrez-Dona, SudveSchwarzer, 2002), is a measurable characteristic which helps predict attitudes that a person displays in more than one area (Alpay, 2010). One of the determiners of individuals’ behaviors is their expectations for the future (Adler, 1994). As for the construction of society, the young’s expectations for the future and their hopes to be fulfilled can both affect their psychology and satisfaction with life, and also determine social change and its direction (Yavuzer, Demir, MeşeciveSertelin, 2005). The general purpose of this study is to determine Vocational High School students’ general self-efficacy and expectation levels for the future. Within this scope, this study investigates whether there is any significant difference between general self-efficacy and future expectations of students in Vocational High Schools in line with such variables as education program type, gender, age, class and income. This study was carried out with 532 students studying at Siirt Vocational School, Vocational School and EruhVocational School embodied in Siirt University. For data collection, General Self-Sufficiency Scale developed by Yıldırım (2010) and Future Expectation Scale developed by Tuncer (2011) were utilized. The cronbach’s alpha coefficient of general self-sufficiency scale developed by Yıldırım and Ilhan (2010) is 0.80 and the scale is composed of three sub-dimensions of starting, not giving up and pursuance effort. The cronbach’s alpha coefficient of Future Expectation Scale developed by Tuncer is .84 and it is single factorial. The analysis of the data is performed with SPSS 21.0 package program. In order to compare the means in the study, Kruskal Wallis Test and Mann-Whitney U Test were applied. The research findings have demonstrated that the self-efficacy levels of students in Vocational High Schools do not change according to gender, class, school of graduation and employment status and it has been found that there is a significant difference between self-efficacy levels of the students in terms of age, income status and the program they are enrolled in. When the data are analyzed in terms of future expectations, it has been found that while there is not any significant difference according to the variables of gender, age and graduation of school, there is a significant discrepancy between students’ future expectations in terms of grade, employment status and the program they are enrolled in.

Key Words: Vocational High School, General Self-Sufficiency, Future Expectation, Student
1. INTRODUCTION

No matter what level of education they pursue, one of the most important elements that ensure students’ success at school is their perceived self-efficacy. That is why students’ self-efficacy takes an important place in researches carried out on education.

Self-efficacy is defined as an individual’s belief in his/her capability to display behaviors required for reaching his/her desired goals successfully (Bandura, 1994). According to Bandura, the most important factor that affects individuals’ behaviors is their faith in their capacities and sufficiencies in a field rather than their skills and abilities in that field. Thus, the more powerful sufficiency expectations individuals have, the more active they become and the more effort they make. From Bandura’s (1977) point of view, people can generalize evaluations regarding their skills within any context into other skill evaluations within similar contexts. In this regard, general self-efficacy refers to an individual’s belief in his/her sufficiency to cope with difficult and stressful situations in life (Scholz ve Schwarzer, 2005). General self-efficacy, as one’s general confidence in (dealing with?) uncommon and arduous situations in different areas (Schwarzer, Bassler, Kwiatek, Schroder, Zhang, 1997; Scholz, Gutierrez-Dona, SudveSchwarzer, 2002), is a measurable characteristic which helps predict a person’s future attitudes in multiple areas (Alpay, 2010).

It is of importance for individuals to have a true perception of themselves regarding what they can achieve and what they cannot. Once individuals underestimate their capabilities, they tend to set easier goals and give up easily when they face an obstacle. On the contrary, when individuals have too high confidence in themselves, they tend to raise their expectations and ultimately fail due to their insufficient efforts (Bandura, 1997; Stevenson, Chen ve Uttal; Zimmerman ve Maylon, 2009; Akt. Ormrod, 2013). Therefore, one of the elements that determine individuals' behaviors is their future expectations besides their past experiences (Adler, 1994). Considering this on a social scale, the young generation who shall build the future and their expectations come to the forefront. According to Tolan (1990), as the young are a potential power for society, their own expectations often collide with the expectations of society. The young’s expectations for the future and their dreams to be fulfilled in this regard may not only affect their psychology and satisfaction with their lives but also determine social changes and the direction of this change (Yavuzer, Demir, Meşeci ve Sertelin, 2005).

In today's world, many countries face with various problems while adapting to new developments and technological advancements. These developments and advancements affect the business world by creating new professional fields and an increasing need for qualified man power ( Firat ve Özel, 2003). Countries meet the labour force needs in the business world through vocational and technical education that is shaped in line with their dynamics and utilising international experiences. With its young and dynamic population, Turkey has a more significant advantage compared to developed countries. Within this context, in the 16th Council of National Education, it was decided to provide educational opportunities to individuals in accordance with their interests, wishes, capabilities and competences, and thus, ensure their active contribution to economy (MEB, 1999).

Considering the literature, it is observed there are several studies carried out to analyze university students’ expectations for the future (Akman, 1992; Güleri, 1994; Kazu ve Özdemir, 2004; Yavuzer, Demir, Meşeci ve Sertelin, 2005; Başkonuş, Akdal ve Taşdemir, 2011; Tuncel, 2011; Sanlı ve Saracılı, 2015). Besides, it becomes evident that while studies on general self-efficacy were mostly carried out in the health care field (Boschker ve Smit, 1998; Chen ve ar., 2001; Chen ve ar., 2004; Scherbaum ve ar., 2006) studies on academic self-efficacy were predominantly examined in the education field (Schunk, 1981, 1982; Bandura, 1997; Vrugt ve ar., 1997; Pajaes, 1997; Chemers, Hu, Garcia, 2001; Robbins ve ar., 2004; Zajocava, Lynch ve Espenshade, 2005). However, there seems to be no research having examined general self-efficacy and future expectations together. Therefore, this study attempts to contribute to the field.

1.1. Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to determine general self-efficacy and future expectations of students in vocational high schools. Within this scope, this study has investigated whether there exists any significant difference between general self-efficacy and future expectations of students in vocational high schools based on variables such as education program type, gender, age, class and income.

In this study, responses to the following questions were sought:
1- Do general self-efficacy and future expectation levels of students differ according to gender?
2- Do general self-efficacy and future expectation levels of students differ according to class?
3- Do general self-efficacy and future expectation levels of students differ according to age?
4- Do general self-efficacy and future expectation levels of students differ according to school type they have graduated?
5- Do general self-efficacy and future expectation levels of students differ according to their employment situation?
6- Do general self-efficacy and future expectation levels of students differ according to family income?
7- Do general self-efficacy and future expectation levels of students differ according to program they are enrolled in?

2. METHOD
2.1. Working Group
The study was carried out on spring term of 2014-2015 academic year. The working group was composed of 532 students in total studying at Siirt Vocational School of Social Sciences, Siirt Vocational School of Technical Sciences, Eruh Vocational School, Kurtalan Vocational School and Vocational School of Health Services which are embodied in Siirt University. The distribution of the working group according to programs is provided in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banking and Insurance</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postal Services</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting and Tax Practices</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Management</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Works</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical English and Translation</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Services and Secretaryship</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Development</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Documentation and Secretaryship</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Services</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Research Model
In the research, “general scanning model” among descriptive scanning models was used. General scanning model is "scanning procedures carried out on the population or a group or sample selected from it in order to make an inference about the population which is composed of many components” (Karasar, 1994:79).

2.3. Data Collection
General Self-Sufficiency Scale developed by Yıldırım and İlhan (2010) and Future Expectation Scale developed by Tuncer (2011) were used for data collection in the study. Cronbach's alpha coefficient of General self-sufficiency scale is 0.80 and the scale is composed of 3 sub-dimensions as starting, not giving up and pursuance effort. Future expectation scale developed by Tuncer consists of 14 items. 5 point likert scale was used for scoring. Scoring of the scale is as following: "Strongly Disagree (1,00-1,79)”, “Disagree (1,80-2,59)”, “Neither Agree nor Disagree (2,60-3,39)”, “Agree (3,40-4,19)”, “Strongly Agree (4,20-5,00)”. Cronbach alpha coefficient of future expectations scale is .84 and single factorial.

2.4. Data Analysis
For the analysis of the data, SPSS 21.0 statistical package program was used. Significance level to be used in statistical analyses was determined as p=0.05. In order to compare the means, Kruskal Wallis Test and Mann-Whitney U Test were utilized in the research.
3. FINDINGS
The distribution of students in Vocational High Schools according to gender, age, grade, employment status and income levels is provided in Table 2.

Table 2. Frequencies and Percentages as to Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Class</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>79.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Class</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>90.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-35</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 and over</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of Graduation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational School</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General High School</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatolian/Science High School</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imam Hatip High School</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open High School</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>87.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed in a relevant job.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed in an irrelevant job.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Wage</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001-2000.</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-3000.</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3001 and over</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen on Table 2, students’ 63.3% are female and 36.7% are male. 79.1% of participant students are at first grade and 20.3% are at second grade. 90.2% of students are between 18-24 ages, 6.8% are between 25-30 ages, 1.7% are between 31-35 and 1.3% are at 36 age and over. 58.1% of students are vocational school graduates, 30.6% are general school graduates, 7.1% are Anatolian/Science High School graduates, 1.5% are Imam Hatip High School graduates and 2.6% are open high school graduates. 87.8% of participant are unemployed, 4.5% are working in a job related to their professional field and 7.7% are working in a job not related to their professional field. Family income of 38.7% of the participant students is minimum wage, of 32.9% is between 1001-2000 TL, of 12.8% is between 2001-3000 TL and of 3.9% is 3001 TL and over.

Findings as to First Sub Problem

Table 3. Results of Mann Whitney U Test as to Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
<th>Sum of Rank</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Future Expectation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>272.74</td>
<td>91642.00</td>
<td>30830.0</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>255.80</td>
<td>50136.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Self-efficacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>270.73</td>
<td>91237.5</td>
<td>31430.5</td>
<td>0.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>259.18</td>
<td>50540.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Having analyzed Table 3 according to Mann-Whitney U test carried out in order to determine whether future expectations and general self-efficacy of students in Vocational High Schools differ by 'gender' or not, any difference between students’ future expectations \[U=30830.0, p>0.05\] and general self-sufficiency levels\[U=31430.5, p>0.05\] in terms of the ‘gender’ variable has not been found. However, it was observed both future expectations and general self-sufficiencies of females were higher than those of males.
Findings as to the Second Sub-problem

Table 4. Results of Mann Whitney U test as to 'Class'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
<th>Sum of Rank</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>18029.50</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expectation</td>
<td>117862.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Grade</td>
<td>221.44</td>
<td>23915.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Grade</td>
<td>277.98</td>
<td>117862.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>21544.5</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>30133.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Grade</td>
<td>263.31</td>
<td>111644.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Grade</td>
<td>279.01</td>
<td>30133.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Having analyzed Table 4 according to Mann-Whitney U test, carried out in order to determine whether future expectations and general self-efficacy of students in Vocational High Schools differ as to 'Grade' or not, it was observed there was a significant difference between future expectations of students in terms of the variable 'Grade' \[U=18029.0, p<0.05\]. It was determined that observed difference was in favor of students at first grade. On the other hand, there was not any significant difference between general self-efficacy levels of students in terms of the variable 'Grade' \[U=21544.5, p>0.05\]. According to Table 4, future expectations of students at first grade was higher than those at second grade; nevertheless, it is vice versa in terms of general self-efficacy levels.

Findings as to Third Sub-problem

Table 5. Results of Kruskal Wallis H Test According to Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
<th>sd</th>
<th>(x^2)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Significant Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>263.25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.141</td>
<td>0.544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>284.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-35</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>255.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36 and over</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>334.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>269.85</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>196.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-35</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>248.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36 and over</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>417.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Having analyzed Table 5 according to Kruskal-Wallis H Test carried out to determine whether future expectations and general self-efficacy of students in Vocational High Schools differ as to 'Age' or not, it was observed there was a significant different between future expectations of students; on the other hand, there was not any difference between their general self-efficacy levels \[x^2=14.51, p<0.05\]. As a result of multiple comparisons done via Mann-Whitney U test, it was determined that this difference was in favor of those aged 36 and over among all age groups and it was in favor of those at aged 18-24 among 18-24 and 25-30 age groups. Accordingly, it was found the older students’ perceived self-efficacy was higher than others and the lowest self-efficacy level was observed in 25-30 age group.

Findings as to Fourth Sub-problem

Table 6. Results of Kruskall Wallis H Test According to Types of Graduation School

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
<th>sd</th>
<th>(x^2)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>270.68</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.278</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expectation</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>225.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational High School</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>270.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General High School</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>225.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatolian/Science High School</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>243.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imam Hatip High School</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>254.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open High School</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>221.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>282.70</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.306</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>231.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational High School</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>250.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General High School</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>231.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatolian/Science High School</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>215.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imam Hatip High School</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>221.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Having analyzed Table 6, according to Kruskal-Wallis H Test carried out in order to determine whether future expectations and general self-efficacy of students in Vocational High Schools differ by 'Type of Graduation School' or not, it was observed that there was not any significant different between future expectations and general self-efficacy levels of students in terms of the variable 'Type of Graduation'.

**Findings as to Fifth Sub-Problem**

Table 7. Results of Kruskall Wallis H Test According to Employment Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
<th>sd</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Significant Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Future Expectation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>262.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Job</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>350.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Related Job &gt; Unemployed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrelated Job</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>263.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Related Job &gt; Unrelated Job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Self-efficacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>265.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Job</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>350.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Related Job &gt; Unemployed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrelated Job</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>263.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Related Job &gt; Unrelated Job</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Having analyzed Table 7, according to Kruskal-Wallis H Test carried out in order to determine whether future expectations and general self-efficacy of students in Vocational High Schools differ as to 'Employment Status' or not, it was observed there was not any significant different between general self-sufficiency levels of students; nonetheless, there was a significant difference between their future expectations [$\chi^2=7.542$, $p<0.05$]. As a result of multiple comparisons done via Mann-Whitney U test, it was determined that this difference was in favor of students working in a related job among those who stated they were not working and working in a related job and those who stated they were working in a related job and working in an unrelated job. Accordingly, it was found that future expectations of students who were working in a related job was higher than those who did not work and were working in an unrelated job.

**Findings as to Sixth Sub-Problem**

Table 8. Results of Kruskall Wallis H Test by Income Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
<th>sd</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Significant Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Future Expectation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Wage</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>247.92</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.251</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000-2000</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>223.31</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.251</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-3000</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>231.23</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.251</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3001 and over</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>229.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.251</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Self-efficacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Wage</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>228.72</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.611</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000-2000</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>237.84</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.611</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-3000</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>268.43</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.611</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3001 and over</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>175.93</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.611</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Having analyzed Table 8, according to Kruskal-Wallis H Test carried out in order to determine whether future expectations and general self-efficacy of students in Vocational High Schools differ as to 'income status of family' or not, it was observed there was not any significant different between future expectations of students; on the other hand, there was a significant difference between their general self-sufficiency levels [$\chi^2=8.611$, $p<0.05$]. As a result of multiple comparisons done via Mann-Whitney U test, it was determined that this difference was in favor of those having 2001-3000 TL income rather than those having the minimum wage; was in favor of those having 1001-2000 TL income rather than those having 3001 and over income; was in favor of those having 2001-3000 TL income rather than those having 3001 and over income. Accordingly, it was found that self-sufficiency perceptions of students whose income status were the highest and the lowest was lower than others and the lowest self-efficacy level was observed among students whose family income status was 3001 and over.
Findings as to Seventh Sub-Problem

Table 9. Results of Kruskall Wallis H Test according to Program Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
<th>sd</th>
<th>$x^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Significant Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Finance</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>273.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Banking and Insurance</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>294.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Postal Services</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>271.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Accounting and Tax Practices</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>319.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55.649</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Business Management</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>262.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Electrical works</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>197.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Practical English and Translation</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>247.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) Office Services and Secretaryship</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>389.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) Child Development</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>268.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10) Medical Documentation and Secretaryship</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>171.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11) Social Services</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>304.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Having analyzed Table 9, students in Office Services and Secretaryship Department and Accounting and Tax Practices Department have the highest future expectations whereas those studying in Medical Documentation and Secretaryship programs have the lowest future expectations. In terms of general self-efficacy, students at the highest level are studying in Accounting and Tax Practices, Medical Documentation and Secretaryship and Social Services while those at the lowest level are studying in Practical English and Translation and Banking and Insurance Programs. According to Kruskal-Wallis H test to determine whether future expectations and general self-efficacy of students in Vocational High Schools differ by the program type they are enrolled in, a significant difference both between their future expectations and self-sufficiency levels by the variable 'program type they have enrolled in' was found.

As a result of multiple comparisons done via Mann-Whitney U test, significant differences were determined in terms of future expectations in favor of students in Accounting program among Accounting, Electrical Works and Medical Documentation programs; in favor of those in Banking Programs among Banking and Insurance, Postal Services, Electrical Works and Medical Documentations Programs; in favor of those in Postal Services between Postal Services and Medical Documentation Programs; in favor of those in Accounting Program among Accounting, Electrical Works and Medical Documentation; in favor of those in Business Management Program between Business Management and Medical Documentation Programs; in favor of those in Electrical Works Program between Electrical Works and Medical Documentation Programs; in favor of those in Office Services Program among Office Services, Finance, Banking, Business Management, Electrical Works, Child Development, Medical documentation and Social Services Programs; in favor of those in Child Development program among Child Development, Electrical Works and Medical Documentation and in favor of those in Social Services program among Social Services, Electrical Works and Medical Documentation.
As a result of multiple comparisons done via Mann-Whitney U Test, significant differences were identified in terms of general self-sufficiency levels in favor of students in Accounting Program among Banking and Insurance, Accounting and Practical English and Translation; in favor of those in Office Services among Banking and Insurance, Practical English and Translation and Office Services; in favor of those in Child Development program among Finance, Banking and Insurance, Practical English and Translation; in favor of those in Medical Documentation and Secretariatship among Finance, Banking and Insurance, Practical English and Translation; in favor of those in Social Services among Finance, Banking and Insurance, Practical English and Translation and Social Services.

4. DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION and SUGGESTIONS

Future expectations of the young, considered as a indispensable part of society in terms of social change and development, and their beliefs in this regard may determine both social changes and the direction of this change. Future expectations of individuals affects not only the present moment but also the following periods (Tuncer, 2011). Future expectations of students have an impact especially on their success and performances at school. In this regard, it is of quite importance to determine future expectations of students in vocational high schools which aim to meet the intermediate staff need of society and are expected to meet future expectations.

Within the scope of this study, having analyzed the data on future expectations of students in Vocational High schools by the variables of gender, grade, age, graduation school, income status of family and program type, the following results have been obtained:

1- Findings in this study showed there was not any significant difference between future expectations of students in terms of gender, graduation school and income status of the family. However, it was observed that future expectations of females were higher than those of males. Similarly, in the study carried out by Tuncer (2011) on students in Vocational High Schools, it was determined that there was not any significant difference in terms of age, graduation school and income status; however, there existed a significant difference in favor of females in terms of the variable 'gender'. Nevertheless, the results of the study carried out by Bayoğlu and Purutçuoğlu (2010) on future expectations of adolescents show parallelism with the results of this study and they did not find any significant difference among future expectations of students in terms of gender, either.

2- Findings have demonstrated that there is a significant difference between future expectations of students in terms of grade levels. It was observed that this difference was in favor of students at first grade. Accordingly, it is assumed that future expectations of students increase within the framework of the objectives they have determined at first grade. It is considered that job opportunities and their experiences at the department become more realistic and lowers their future expectations at last grade. This result shows parallelism with the findings of Akman's study which reveals that the future and job expectations of students at last grade are lower than those at other grades. On the other hand, Tuncer (2011) could not find any significant difference in his study in terms of the variable 'Grade'.

3- Findings obtained showed that there was a significant difference between future expectations of students in terms of the variable 'Employment status'. As a result of multiple comparisons done via Mann-Whitney U test, it was determined that this difference was in favor of students working in a related job. Accordingly, students being enrolled in programs that would help them expertise in their own professional fields might increase their future expectations.

4- A significant difference between future expectations of students in terms of the variable 'program type they have enrolled in' has been found. According to the findings, students having the highest future expectations were enrolled in Office and Secretary Services programs, Accounting and Tax Practices program and Social Services program; on the other hand, those having the lowest expectations were enrolled in Medical Documentation and Secretaryship program and Electrical Works program. In the study carried out by Tuncer (2011), any significant difference in terms of the variable 'department' was not indicated.

There are many psycho-socio-cultural and economical factors that affect future expectations of individuals. According to Akman (1992), any expectation regarding a specific field is shaped by individuals' perceptions of themselves rather than their hopes and wishes for the future. Within the scope of this study, the following results were obtained as a consequence of the analysis of the data on perceived self-efficacy of students in Vocational High Schools, which is one of the self-perceptions of individuals, in terms of the variables 'gender, grade, age, graduation school, income status of family and program type'.

1- Any significant difference between general self-sufficiency levels of students in terms of gender, grade, graduation school and employment status was not found. However, it was observed that self-efficacy of female students in terms of gender, of high school graduates in terms of graduation school, and of those working in a related job are higher than the others. Whereas Ayşapay (2010) demonstrated that general self-efficacy levels differed significantly in terms of gender and age, Göller (2015) found out there was not any difference between self-efficacy levels of preservice teachers in terms of gender.
2- A significant difference between general self-efficacy of students in terms of the age was found. Although the difference was in favor of students aged 36 and over, it was observed that there was a significant difference in favor of the younger group when self-efficacy levels of students aged between 18-24 (n=48) and 25-30 (n=36) were compared.

3- A significant difference was also observed between general self-efficacy of students in terms of the income status of their families. Students at the highest self-efficacy level in terms of income status are those whose family incomes are between 2001-3000 TL; on the other hand, those at the lowest self-sufficiency level are students whose family incomes are 3001 TL and over.

4- A significant difference between general self-efficacy levels of students in terms of the variable 'program type they have enrolled in' has been found. Programs in which students show the highest self-efficacy levels are Accounting and Tax Practices program, Medical documentation and Secretaryship program and Social Services program; however, students enrolled in Practical English and Translation program and Banking and Insurance programs have the lowest self-efficacy levels.

In this study, future expectations and general self-efficacy of students in Vocational High Schools were examined through various variables. More elaborate results could be obtained by contributing to similar studies with qualitative data.

Considering Bandura's (1997) claim that perceived self-efficacy affects performance, the relationship between students’ general self-efficacy and future expectations remains to be researched further.
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